
TELEROBOTS FOR INFORMAL LEARNING IN SCHOOLS

Veronica Ahumada-Newhart

Institute for Clinical and Translational Science
University of California, Irvine
vnewhart@uci.edu

Laurel D. Riek

Computer Science and Engineering
University of California, San Diego
lriek@eng.ucsd.edu

ABSTRACT

In the pre-pandemic world, it was estimated that 2.5 million children in the US were restricted to their homes due to medical risk. Sadly, in the COVID-19 (C-19) world and post C-19 world this number is expected to be much larger. As communities and schools return to in-person gatherings, many children will not be able to return to in-person school either due to their own health risks or the health risks of a family member. Awareness of this global reality highlights the urgent need to explore the use of inclusive technologies beyond the static screens of Zoom and online schools. As in-person schools resume, there is much we can learn from children and adolescents who pioneered the use of telerobots to not only attend school—but also to play.

1 Introduction

The importance of play in child development is widely accepted. The United Nations High Commission for Human Rights by Play highlighted that play is so important to optimal child development that it is recognized as a right of every child [1]. In both 2007 and 2012, the American Academy of Pediatrics published clinical reports on the importance of play [2, 3] and the World Health Organization, in its ICF-CY (International Classification of Functioning and Disabilities, version for Children and Youth) publication considers play as one of the most important aspect of a child’s life to be considered when assessing children’s quality of life [4, 5]. Additionally, research shows that the benefits of play are found in a mix of physical, social, emotional, and intellectual rewards at all stages of life [6].

In our work, we deploy telerobots to enable children restricted to home environments to remotely attend school. In this paper, we present a planned case study of “learning through play” as a subsection of our larger study on robot deployments, where children used telerobots to attend class, extracurricular activities, and social events. Empirical data on learning through play will allow for an in-depth exploration of the robot-mediated activities that occurred outside of formal learning activities and centered around self-directed “play.”

2 Background and Research Questions

In our planned case study, “play” is operationalized as a self-directed activity that is 1) intrinsically motivated, 2) entails active engagement, and 3) results in joyful discovery [6]. In earlier work, we found participants to be motivated to attend school via telerobot even though the technical experiences may not have been ideal [7]. For many of our participants, the motivation to use the telerobot was social [8]. While all participants in our study used telerobots to attend school, a few of our participants extended their use of the robot to remain actively engaged in activities that were not required but desired for learning and play. Play activities were intrinsically motivated, displayed active engagement, and resulted in discovery.

The **research questions** driving our work for this planned case study include: 1) How do children use telerobots for informal learning? 2) What are the play activities that facilitate immersive learning experiences for remote children?

In our ongoing, national, multi-case study, telerobots are used for social and academic learning. These are commercially-available telepresence robots with varying degrees of semi-autonomous features (e.g., obstacle avoidance). As the main purpose of a telerobot is to facilitate communication and interaction between two humans, some participants were able to design and create their own social and play experiences with human peers in real-world environments. We



Figure 1: VGo Robot in School

found that children built on their foundational knowledge of social interactions and situations to create new scenarios of robot-mediated learning and play.

For our planned case study, we will use *Expectancy-value Theory*, a motivational theory that encompasses expectancies for success and subjective task values [9], and the Presence and Social Connectedness (PASC) framework [10] that identifies levels of robot-mediated 1) engagement, 2) self-perceived levels of presence, 3) peer-perceived levels of presence.

3 Design

Learners in our study are children who are medically restricted to their homes or hospitals due to medical conditions. In earlier work, some of our participants requested to use their telerobots to attend activities outside of formal learning. Our planned case study will explore in depth the activities that occurred in schools and centered around using robots for learning through play. Scholars have identified the difficulty in defining “play” and recommend that play be viewed as an aspect—and a function of—human development [11].

Scholars also posit that play is practice for the body, exercise for the feelings, and training for the mind [12]. As our planned case study is centered on the use of telerobots for play, our learning objective is “play” as an aspect of human development that affords exercise for the feelings and training for the mind. This learning objective takes place in the school environment in both formal and informal learning experiences.

In our work to date, we have employed VGo and Double telerobots as they are commercially available and are being used in our partner schools. (See Figure 1). Future work will use a mobile manipulator, the Stretch robot, by Hello Robot.

4 Assessment

In our prior work, we collected data as part of a larger case study that explores the interconnectedness of all participants in robot-mediated school experiences. Empirical data were collected via holistic case studies in a multi-case, qualitative exploratory study.

For our planned case study on robot-mediated learning through play, we will conduct cross-case analysis of data on play activities. We will use the Presence and Social Connectedness (PASC) framework [10] to analyze observation and interview data on robot-mediated presence and engagement in real-world extracurricular learning through play activities. The PASC framework will provide a consistent measurement tool for evaluating presence and engagement in

these learning activities. The goal for our planned case study is twofold: 1) to explore robot-mediated learning through play, and 2) to provide recommendations on the design and social practices of telerobots to encourage play activities that promote informal learning experiences for remote children.

5 Related Work

Our planned case study on robot-mediated learning through play will be informed by our earlier work and also build on related work in the field. Prior research on child robot interactions [13, 14, 15, 16], culturally aware robots [17, 18], and anthropomorphism [19] will inform our work on social learning. Additionally, work on robots in learning and schools [20, 21, 22, 23] and robots in the wild [24, 16] will inform our research design as we plan future studies in our partner schools. We are also interested in leveraging recent work on robots in groups [25, 26, 27, 28, 29], to explore human-robot teaming within the context of students in schools.

References

- [1] R. White, “The power of play a research summary on play and learning. minnesota children’s museum,” *Smart Play*, 2013.
- [2] K. R. Ginsburg *et al.*, “The importance of play in promoting healthy child development and maintaining strong parent-child bonds,” *Pediatrics*, vol. 119, no. 1, pp. 182–191, 2007.
- [3] R. M. Milteer, K. R. Ginsburg, D. A. Mulligan *et al.*, “The importance of play in promoting healthy child development and maintaining strong parent-child bond: Focus on children in poverty,” *Pediatrics*, vol. 129, no. 1, pp. e204–e213, 2012.
- [4] WHO, “Towards a common language for functioning, disability and health: Icf,” <https://www.who.int/classifications/icf/training/icfbeginnersguide.pdf>, 2002.
- [5] —, “International classification of functioning, disability and health (icf),” <https://www.who.int/classifications/international-classification-of-functioning-disability-and-health>, 2019.
- [6] M. Yogman, A. Garner, J. Hutchinson, K. Hirsh-Pasek, R. M. Golinkoff, C. on Psychosocial Aspects of Child, F. Health *et al.*, “The power of play: A pediatric role in enhancing development in young children,” *Pediatrics*, vol. 142, no. 3, 2018.
- [7] V. A. Newhart and J. S. Olson, “My student is a robot: How schools manage telepresence experiences for students,” in *Proceedings of the 2017 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems*, 2017, pp. 342–347.
- [8] V. A. Newhart, M. Warschauer, and L. Sender, “Virtual inclusion via telepresence robots in the classroom: An exploratory case study,” *The International Journal of Technologies in Learning*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 9–25, 2016.
- [9] J. S. Eccles and A. Wigfield, “Motivational beliefs, values, and goals,” *Annual review of psychology*, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 109–132, 2002.
- [10] V. Ahumada-Newhart and J. S. Eccles, “A theoretical and qualitative approach to evaluating children’s robot-mediated levels of presence,” *Technology, Mind, and Behavior*, vol. 1, no. 1, 2020.
- [11] S. G. Eberle, “The elements of play: Toward a philosophy and a definition of play,” *American Journal of Play*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 214–233, 2014.
- [12] S. Brown, “Discovering the importance of play through personal histories and brain images: An interview with stuart brown,” *American Journal of Play*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 399–412, 2009.
- [13] A. Sandygulova and G. M. O’Hare, “Age-and gender-based differences in children’s interactions with a gender-matching robot,” *International Journal of Social Robotics*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 687–700, 2018.
- [14] A. Sandygulova, A. G. Campbell, M. Dragone, and G. M. O’Hare, “Immersive human-robot interaction,” in *Proceedings of the seventh annual ACM/IEEE international conference on Human-Robot Interaction*, 2012, pp. 227–228.
- [15] Y. Diyas, D. Brakk, Y. Aimambetov, and A. Sandygulova, “Evaluating peer versus teacher robot within educational scenario of programming learning,” in *2016 11th ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction (HRI)*. IEEE, 2016, pp. 425–426.
- [16] A. Jacq, S. Lemaignan, F. Garcia, P. Dillenbourg, and A. Paiva, “Building successful long child-robot interactions in a learning context,” in *2016 11th ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction (HRI)*. IEEE, 2016, pp. 239–246.

- [17] B. Bruno, N. Y. Chong, H. Kamide, S. Kanoria, J. Lee, Y. Lim, A. K. Pandey, C. Papadopoulos, I. Papadopoulos, F. Pecora *et al.*, “Paving the way for culturally competent robots: A position paper,” in *2017 26th IEEE international symposium on robot and human interactive communication (RO-MAN)*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 553–560.
- [18] S. Šabanović, C. C. Bennett, and H. R. Lee, “Towards culturally robust robots: A critical social perspective on robotics and culture,” in *Proc. HRI Workshop on Culture-Aware Robotics*, vol. 2014, 2014.
- [19] L. D. Riek, T.-C. Rabinowitch, B. Chakrabarti, and P. Robinson, “Empathizing with robots: Fellow feeling along the anthropomorphic spectrum,” in *2009 3rd International Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction and Workshops*. IEEE, 2009, pp. 1–6.
- [20] J. Nasir, U. Norman, W. Johal, J. K. Olsen, S. Shahmoradi, and P. Dillenbourg, “Robot analytics: What do human-robot interaction traces tell us about learning?” in *2019 28th IEEE International Conference on Robot and Human Interactive Communication (RO-MAN)*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 1–7.
- [21] S. Shahmoradi, J. K. Olsen, S. Haklev, W. Johal, U. Norman, J. Nasir, and P. Dillenbourg, “Orchestration of robotic activities in classrooms: challenges and opportunities,” in *European Conference on Technology Enhanced Learning*. Springer, 2019, pp. 640–644.
- [22] W. Johal, A. Jacq, A. Paiva, and P. Dillenbourg, “Child-robot spatial arrangement in a learning by teaching activity,” in *2016 25th IEEE International Symposium on Robot and Human Interactive Communication (RO-MAN)*. IEEE, 2016, pp. 533–538.
- [23] W. Johal, “Research trends in social robots for learning,” *Current Robotics Reports*, pp. 1–9, 2020.
- [24] T. Salter, I. Werry, and F. Michaud, “Going into the wild in child–robot interaction studies: issues in social robotic development,” *Intelligent Service Robotics*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 93–108, 2008.
- [25] T. Iqbal, S. Rack, and L. D. Riek, “Movement coordination in human–robot teams: A dynamical systems approach,” *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 909–919, 2016.
- [26] M. F. O’Connor and L. D. Riek, “Detecting social context: A method for social event classification using naturalistic multimodal data,” in *2015 11th IEEE International Conference and Workshops on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition (FG)*, vol. 3. IEEE, 2015, pp. 1–7.
- [27] T. Iqbal and L. D. Riek, “Coordination dynamics in multi-human multi-robot teams,” *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, pp. 1–6, 2017.
- [28] A. Taylor, H. Lee, A. Kubota, and L. Riek, “Coordinating clinical teams: Using robots to empower nurses to stop the line,” in *In Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Computer Supported Collaborative Work (CSCW)*, 2019.
- [29] M. F. Jung, S. Šabanović, F. Eyszel, and M. Fraune, “Robots in groups and teams,” in *Companion of the 2017 ACM conference on computer supported cooperative work and social computing*, 2017, pp. 401–407.